# Outcomes of Substance Use Disorder Monitoring Programs for Nurses

Richard Smiley, MS, MA, and Kyrani Reneau, MA

I :Stb, a cet, ed, ede=(SUD)c te, be a ead tb c Aea Ac cen fe, a eb and fte, (BON,). A ريه: Ta,e, AeSUD م م a c e م a e, a d de e n e Ae م a c Aanacen, c,a, c a ed A م a c e .M :₂:A∍e∍, ec\_ec A∍, td f7,737 t∍,e, a∍ c a SUD ∍ ∍a , be ee Ae ea∍, 2007 ad 2015 a collected. B an a e a a , e e ned an abe f, t cce, f n a c e , a d a f n and, e е , c∎e ⊨ej, de ajet ajjej, nedic ej fini na jticcej, nifaitine.Rig g:Sticcej, fini ni na ci ecreeaed ∧?Aet ber fear, ∧er ra (=0.30).T∧er∧?Ae, ence ae ftree,c e a 🔹 🐽 a, a a∎t d Ae5-ea∎ a∎, Add a ,26∎a d d∎t e, ,,25,t ∎ ∎t ee ,,ad55 60 + + a, + ●t ee , ee eae eeea, caed Atcce, ft ● ea c e .C 🍃 ₂:B 🗡 ea d dat e, ,, da cAec<sub>ee</sub>,,ada + 3-ea⊨e A<sup>r</sup>f,a a ⊨ ea e⊫ea,,caed A<sup>\*</sup>,+cce,,f+ ⊨ ea c e A e d , et ee , a d t t a t ee , e ee , e ea, t eft .C \_e a e e a e eee Aeeeet, addee f ⊨ at de e Aacabee, edb BON, a⊫eeec e ded e , e , .

Keywçrds: Alternative to discipline, drug testing, recovery, relapse, substance use disorder treatment

Substance use disorder (SUD) continues to be a leading public health concern in the United States. Annually, substance abuse costs the United States an estimated \$740 billion (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2020). Evidence confirms the grams, data collected over the entire length of a stay in the program were adjusted by number of days in the program.

### ډن A د S

Using SAS Enterprise 7.1, bivariate analyses were conducted on the variables to explore their relationships to successful program completion. The variables were plotted to highlight trends and correlations were calculated. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated using the data to see whether threshold levels could be identified, at which point an impact on program completion could be observed. ROC curves illustrate the diagnostic ability of a binary classifier system as its discrimination threshold is varied. Area under the curve (AUC) estimates were also reported as a measure of how well each program characteristic distinguishes between the two levels of the outcome (e.g., success vs failure).

To assess which program features were the most predictive of program success or failure, a forward stepwise logistic regression model was run using program success as the dependent variable and all of the program variables as the independent variables. Findings were checked to make sure that colinearity was not a factor, and program effects were examined but were found to be nonsignificant.

#### **Results**

The bivariate variable relationships are depicted in Figure 1. Figure 1A shows that the percentage of nurses successfully completing a program correlated with the number of years in the program (r = 0.30). It shows a steady increase and suggests that the highest percentage of nurses successfully completing a program was at around the 5-year mark. ROC analysis placed the cut point at 715 days (about 2 years), at which time the bulk of those who did not complete the program was below that number of days while the

meetings per year (one per week), there was little increase in the proportion of those who successfully completed the program.

As shown in Figure 1G, the proportion of nurses successfully completing a program correlated with the number of times a nurse checked in with the monitoring program (r = 0.24). There is a steady increase, and the highest proportion of nurses successfully completing a program was at around the 360-day (1-year) mark. This implies that having daily check-ins (including weekends and holidays) is highly effective.

Figure 1H shows the proportion of nurses successfully completing a program negatively correlated with the number of times a nurse missed checking in with the monitoring program (r = -0.20). It shows a steady decrease and reveals that after 2 missed check-ins per year, the successful completion percentage drops to near the average successful completion percentage.

The proportion of nurses successfully completing a program also correlated negatively with the num

(s)-8.7(a)-3.1

Tf-0.092

minimum. Raising the minimum from 2 to 3 years increases the probability of correctly identifying noncompleters from 54.5% to 70.5%. Identifying nurses likely to fail is of greater priority than those likely to complete given the possible implications for patient safety.

The adoption of ATD approaches are largely supported due to their intent of retaining, rehabilitating, and re-entering nurses into safe, professional practice (NCSBN, 2011; ENA & IntNSA, 2016). Sporadic state-level success has not ignited widespread national adoption because success rates of a large sample of programs have not been published. The findings from this study help bridge this gap and provide evidence for which distinct program factors contribute to successful completion. Most notably, our finding on the influence of drug testing, even for those who relapse, suggest that "starting the clock over" for the nurse can still lead to desired results. Evaluating impaired nurses closely for external factors (Rojas, Jeon-Slaughter, et al., 2013a) and personality characteristics (Brown et al., 2002) known to increase the risk of relapse, as well as tailoring interventions to be more gender sensitive (Angres et al., 2013) should be considered when structuring or restructuring SUD monitoring programs. As evidenced by the literature, family history of SUD and psychiatric comorbidities may contribute to a nurse's inability to successfully complete a program (Snow & Anderson, 2000; Merlo & Gold, 2009; Rojas, Brand, et al., 2013b).

# Lu, .

This study was limited by the quality and quantity of some of the data being collected. By the nature of being automatically generated, the data gathered on check-in history, drug test selection history, drug test history, and noncompliance history were generally superb. However, not all of the programs tracked data on drug test resolution (e.g., positive, abnormal). The data sourced by service programs on mutual support and group support meeting history were mostly complete.

Data requiring manual entry were not as consistent. The manually generated dates that showed up on some files were not

participants 5 years after program completion. These data should then be used to facilitate further understanding of what produces effectiveness in SUD monitoring programs.

## References

- Angres, D., Bologeorges, S., & Chou, J. (2013). A two year longitudinal outcome study of addicted health care professionals: An investigation of the role of personality variables. *Substance Abuse: Research and Treatment*, *7*, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.4137/SART.S10556
- Baldisseri, M. R. (2007). Impaired healthcare professional. Critical Care Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S106–S116. https://doi.org/10.1097/01. CCM.0000252918.87746.96
- Bettinardi-Angres, K., Pickett, J., & Patrick, D. (2012). Substance use disorders and accessing alternative-to-discipline programs. *Journal of Nursing Regulation*, 3(2), 16–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2155-8256(15)30214-3
- Bettinardi-Angres, K., & Garcia, R. (2015). A consistent approach to treatment and reentry for CRNAs with substance use disorder. *Journal of Nursing Regulation*, 6(2), 47–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2155-8256(15)30388-4
- Bowen, M. K., Taylor, K. P., Marcus-Aiyeku, U., & Krause-Parello, C. A. (2012). An examination of stress, coping, and adaptation in nurses in a recovery and monitoring program. *Journal of Addictions Nursing*, *23*(3), 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1097/JAN.0b013e31826f4bd6
- Brown T. G., Seraganian P., Tremblay J., & Annis, H. (2002). Matching substance abuse aftercare treatments to client characteristics. *Addictive Behaviors*, 27(4), 584–605. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4603(01)00195-2
- Clark, C., & Farnsworth, J. (2006). Program for recovering nurses: An evaluation. *Medsurg Nursing*, 15(4), 223–230.
- Crowley, K., & Morgan, C. (2014). *Re/entry: A guide for nurses dealing with substance use disorder*. Sigma Theta Tau International.
- Davis, K., Powers, C., Vuk, J., & Kennedy, R. (2014). Predictors of substance use recidivism among Arkansas nurses. *Journal of Nursing Regulation*, 5(2), 39–44.
- Dittman, P. W. (2015). Chemical dependency and nursing students: A complicated process calling for nurse educator involvement. *Journal of Addictions Nursing, 26*(2), 58–61. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/ JAN.00000000000072
- Dunn, D. (2005). Substance abuse among nurses: Defining the issue. *AORN Journal*, 82(4), 573–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-2092(06)60028-8
- DuPont, R. L., McLellan, A. T., Carr, G., Gendel, M., & Skipper, G. E. (2009a). How are addicted physicians treated? A national survey of physician health programs. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *37*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2009.03.010
- DuPont, R. L., McLellan, A. T., White, W. L., Merlo, L. J., & Gold, M. S. (2009b). Setting the standard for recovery: Physicians' Health Programs. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 36*

- Rojas, J. I., Jeon-Slaughter, H., Brand, M., & Koos, E. (2013). Substance abuse patterns and psychiatric symptomatology among three healthcare provider groups evaluated in an out-patient program for impaired healthcare professionals. *Journal of Addictive Disease*, 32(1), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2012.759857
- Servodidio, C. A. (2011). Alcohol abuse in the workplace and patient safety. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 15(2), 143–145. https:// doi.org/10.1188/11.CJON.143-145
- Shaw, M. F., McGovern, M. P., Angres, D. H., & Rawal, P. (2004). Physicians and nurses with substance use disorders. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 47(5), 561–571. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03133.x
- Snow, D., & Anderson, C. (2000). Exploring the factors influencing relapse and recovery among drug and alcohol addicted women. *Jour*nal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services, 38(7), 8–19.
- Stanford, C. (2018). Addiction in the lives of registered nurses and their wakeup jolt to recovery. Hamilton Books.